

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,320	03/06/2002	Jack R. Kries	DP-306837	9018
22851 DELPHI TEC	7590 04/08/2008 HNOLOGIES, INC.	EXAMINER		
M/C 480-410-	202	WILLIAMS, THOMAS J		
PO BOX 5052 TROY, MI 48			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
- /			3683	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/092,320	KRIES ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Thomas J. Williams	3683	

	Thomas J. Williams	3683					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DV. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR.1.3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the machimum statutory period with the provision of the provision o	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Fe	ebruary 2008.						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
Claim(s) is/are allowed.	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	»П.,	(DTO 440)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)	5) Notice of Informal F						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date .	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/092,320 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

 A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 19, 2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-5, 7, 14-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by
 P 6-307489 to Iwashita

Re-claims 1-5 and 14-16, Iwashita discloses a powertrain mount, comprising: an upper orifice plate 13 with a plurality of circular fluid passages or holes 13d; a lower orifice plate 12 with a plurality of circular fluid passages or holes 12h; a generally flat and planer diaphragm 10 with an enlarged central node and a periphery spaced from the central node, the central node is disposed generally entirely at a central portion of the diaphragm (defined as the portion of the diaphragm enclosed by projection 10c), the central node is in constant contact with the upper orifice plate 13 and with the lower orifice plate 12, the periphery is spaced from the upper and lower orifice plate (see figure 5) and is free to move between the upper and lower orifice plate.

Re-claims 7 an 18, see orifice track 11.

Application/Control Number: 10/092,320 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claims 6, 8-13 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lwashita in view of US 5,104,100 to Simuttis.

Re-claims 6, 8 and 17, Iwashita teach a powertrain mount, comprising: an upper orifice plate 13; a lower orifice plate 12; a generally flat and planer diaphragm 10 with an enlarged central node and a periphery spaced from the central node, the central node is disposed generally entirely at a central portion of the diaphragm (defined as the portion of the diaphragm enclosed by projection 10c), the central node is in constant contact with the upper orifice plate 13 and with the lower orifice plate 12, the periphery is spaced from the upper and lower orifice plate (see figure 5) and is free to move between the upper and lower orifice plate. However, Iwashita fail to teach the periphery including a raised rim.

Art Unit: 3683

Simuttis teaches a diaphragm with a freely moving periphery having a raised rim, see

figure 4. This structure provides a desired damping frequency response for the diaphragm. It

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the diaphragm

periphery of Iwashita with a raised rim as taught by Simuttis, thus modifying the damping effect

of the diaphragm to a desired damping frequency.

Re-claims 9-12, see circular holes 13d and 12h.

Re-claim 13, see orifice track 11.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thomas Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-7128.

The examiner can normally be reached on Wednesday-Friday from 6:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Siconolfi, can be reached at 571-272-7124. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-6584.

TJW

/Thomas J. Williams/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3683

April 2, 2008

Application/Control Number: 10/092,320

Page 5

Art Unit: 3683